

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/601,245	GERBER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher P Bruenjes	1772	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher P Bruenjes (PTO).

(2) Virgil Marsh (applicant's representative).

Status of Application: after final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 February 2005

Time: 10:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
all of record

Prior art documents discussed:
none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative gave approval for the amendments to the claims presented in the Examiner's Amendment in order to better clarify the invention.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)